



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,213	10/17/2001	Johan Renes	5117US	5776
24247	7590	08/19/2011	EXAMINER	
TRASKBRITT, P.C.			NGUYEN, TRAN N	
P.O. BOX 2550			ART UNIT	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			PAPER NUMBER	
			3626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			08/19/2011	
			ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTOMail@traskbritt.com

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In the amendment filed one 07/25/2011, Applicant amends claim 9 as follows:

gathering data about two or more natural persons entering into a cohabitation agreement between people who are not married;

This amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Therefore, this amendment is hereby denied entry.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07/25/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 8 applicant argues:

First, page 45, column 2, paragraph 2 of DuBroff is not discerned as disclosing anything about "collecting data" from an unmarried couple. Specifically, the cited paragraph recites:

Such "insurance" - the family security guarantee plan - would be initiated at the time of marriage and used in the event of divorce to help provide a breathing period for both spouses to work out the future. Through periodic payments made over the course of the first few years after divorce, it would provide temporary minimal child support. It could insure our children from becoming public charges, save our unemployable divorcees from hasty remarriages, keep our middle income marriage casualties from the poverty rolls. Further, it could protect our guilt-ridden fathers from avoiding the children because of delinquent payments. It could eliminate the family court support and non-support hostilities that cause relationships of an entire family - including the children - to deteriorate. It could even help the father who gets custody of the children and must provide household care while he works.

Clarification is thus respectfully requested as to the Office's citations.